Integration of TNA proposals into digital user office DOOR

Procedure to handle EU Programme proposa

Daniela Unger

European User Offices Meeting 2024, ELI-NP Bukarest



HELMHOLTZ

Frame for contribution to EU TNA program

- Prior consultation with management and beamline staff
- external review by EU program accepted by Managment if
 - \rightarrow expert and non-biased reviewers
 - ightarrow restricted to a very limited amount of beamtime
- amount of access, participating DESY facility/beamlines and infrastructure defined in the contract

Scheduling for TNA also industry, Management reserve ...

- If requested during call/review period: can easily be included in official schedule
- If requested during run: scheduling difficult, has to be squeezed in, replacing e.g. inhouse or commissioning beamtime
- some BL with expected access spare some shifts
- \rightarrow delayed scheduling in the following run may happen

Workflow-TNA industry, Management...

REVIEW

- EU project panels (NFFA, remade@ARI) via external systems
- DESY staff included for feasibility check
- no review required for industry, inhouse-type of proposals...

WORKFLOW

- Notification of successful application by EU project management
- creation of proposal in DOOR by User Office (or others, depending on type of proposal)
- user is informed and gets Proposal ID and has to submit experiment specification for the proposal via DOOR
- scheduling by BL manager, mail to user informing about required next steps
- administrative steps (declarations, registration etc.) to be done by user as usual

REPORTING

• experimental report for each beamtime or summary final report

Integration into DOOR – TNA industry, Management...

- very simple design, flexible with respect to duration, shifts, reports, organization
- implemented to respond to need for divers types of access not directly requested by users via DOOR
- integration into DOOR allows statistics, automated reminders etc.

| | ADD NON-PUBLIC PROPOSAL (TYPE | ARI) | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-----|---------------|
| TITLE (*) | | | | | |
| Remade@ARI Proposal ID XYZ - Title of the original proposals | | | | | |
| | LEADER/PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR | | | | |
| Project Leader (*) | Dr. Joanne McCarthy SELECT REMOVE | | | | |
| Principal Investigator (*) | Dr. Daniela Unger | SELECT | REMOVE | | |
| | | | | | |
| | PROPOSAL SPECIFICATIONS | | | ~ | |
| Experimental setup/Instrument (*) | PETRA III: P08 | PETRA III: P08 | | | |
| Select time unit for proposal (*) | Shifts (1 shift eq. 8 hours) | | | | |
| Number of time units required for proposal (*) | 24 | | | | |
| Contact | Dr. Florian Bertram | | | | SELECT REMOVE |
| Primary Research Field (*) | Energy | | | ~ | |
| Secondary Research Field | - Select one - | | | ~ | |
| Research Category (*) | Applied science | | | ~ | |
| Discipline (*) | Chemistry | | | ~ | |
| Specific Discipline (*) | Green Chemistry | | | ~ | |
| Valid until (dd/mm/yyyy) (*) | 31/05/2024 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | INDUSTRIAL INVOLVEMENT/SPONSORSH | | | | |
| Does this proposal have any industrial involvement or sponsorship? The | | will not affect the rating of | f your proposal. | | |
| Industrial involvement/sponsorship (*) | Yes | | | ~ | |
| Name and address of the industrial partners (*) | Company XYZ | | | 11. | |
| | | | | | |
| | DETAILED PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION | | | | |
| Attachment (*) | Appendix9_DU_HCW_OHS_DU.pdf | SELECT | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | MAIN SUBMIT LIST | | | | |