

## **User Office Software Collaboration**

April 2024

#### DYNAMIC

Virtually nothing is hard coded. Templating tools are simple to use by nonsoftware developers giving flexibility to changes.

### User Focused

Providing a clean, simple to navigate and use system for participants in user access.

#### INTEGRATED

Providing an access point to all elements of the user journey from registration to data curation.



# The User Journey





# **Encoded Flexibility**

Software designed to allow for changing situations

#### Templates:

Form building tools enabling any combination of input types – text, rich text, boolean, drop down (& multi-select), images, uploads, api data

No **need** for software developer time to create and amend templates

Formatting templates to determine how input information is collated in to pdf documents and bundles

### Workflows:

A series of steps the proposal works through, jointly defined by the call information and the templates

Simplest state: call opens, proposal template becomes available for user completion, call closes, proposals become fixed for the review process





## **Proposal** Submission and Review





2 x DEMAX call for proposals -> nearly 40 submitted proposals 1 x DEMAX call for proposals -> nearly 20 proposals reviewed in UOS with external review tools DEMAX rolling call for proposals -> 4 proposals submitted proposals ISIS and CLF proposals via STFC collaboration -> over 1000 submitted proposals

## Scheduling

The data policy states that:

Basic proposal information (proposal title, abstract and sample composition) will become publically accessible once the experiment is scheduled.







SWAP and SCUO team testing Instrument Scientists demo Development on-going



## Wrap up and Data Harvesting





# Alignment or branching software?



### Examples of branching software:

- ESS don't encode information in the proposal number
- STFC encode call and other information in proposal number
- When an ESS proposal is submitted it is immutable unless the user office return it to draft state
- When an STFC proposal is submitted it can still be edited until a given date
- Requirements for multiple facilities and downstream processes
- Requirements for multi-instrument proposals and downstream processes

While the branching approach is possible and will be necessary to solve some differences in practise, we should align our practises to avoid over-complicating the software

# Why Collaborate?



#### You can use the software without collaborating:

- The User Office Software is open source in GitHub
- Between now and year end both ESS and STFC have resources allocated to provide comprehensive documentation

### But

- Collaboration encourages discussion of best practise and promotes aligned working practises
- Collaborating, rather than taking the code and working alone, enables simple routine software maintenance and modernisation
- Collaboration increases the number of people familiar with UOS and reduces single points of failure

# Aligning Facility Requirements



- Effective progress will come by the user offices determining best practise
- The software can be developed with the flexibility to accommodate best practise
- How should we come to understand the needs we each have and present that to the software developers?
- How should we review the software regularly to ensure it is meeting our needs and feecback our opinions?



## **Finish presentation**